

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
7

8 PRISCELLA R. SAINTAL,

9 *Plaintiff,*

10 vs.

11 JAMES C. COX, *et al.,*

12 *Defendants.*
13

2:13-cv-01084-JCM-PAL

ORDER

14 Plaintiff, a Nevada state inmate, has filed an application (#1) to proceed *in forma*
15 *pauperis* seeking to initiate a civil rights action.

16 The pauper application is incomplete. Both a financial certificate properly completed
17 and executed by an authorized institutional officer and a statement of the plaintiff's inmate
18 trust fund account for the past six months are required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and LSR1-2
19 of the local rules. Plaintiff did not attach a statement of her inmate trust fund account for the
20 prior six months.

21 It does not appear from review of the allegations presented that a dismissal without
22 prejudice of the present improperly-commenced action would lead to a promptly-filed new
23 action being untimely. The earliest operative facts allegedly occurred in March 2012, and a
24 two-year limitations period is applicable to civil rights actions arising out of Nevada.

25 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (#1)
26 is DENIED and that this action shall be DISMISSED without prejudice to the filing of a new
27 complaint on the required form in a new action together with either a new pauper application
28 with all required, and new, attachments or payment of the \$350.00 filing fee.

1 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that all pending motions are DENIED without prejudice.

2 The clerk of court shall SEND plaintiff a copy of the papers that she filed along with the
3 complaint and pauper forms and instructions for both forms.¹

4 The clerk shall enter final judgment accordingly, dismissing this action without
5 prejudice.

6 DATED: July 11, 2013.

7
8 
9 JAMES C. MAHAN
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 ¹Plaintiff should note the following when filing a new complaint and new pauper
18 application in a new action.

19 First, in the “nature of the case” portion of the complaint form, plaintiff should give
20 only a brief general overview of the factual basis for the action. Under the instructions,
21 “[t]his is not the place to provide detailed information about what each defendant did to
violate your rights – that should be done in” the counts. *Instructions*, at 6.

22 Second, plaintiff should not use abbreviations from a specialized field – such as
23 medicine – in stating her claims. It appears that plaintiff used the medical abbreviation of
24 a “c” with a line over it in lieu of writing the word “with.” Plaintiff should not assume that all
25 judges and personnel will be familiar with such abbreviations. Plaintiff should focus on
26 communicating clearly in generally understandable English. The obligation is on plaintiff
27 to clearly state her claims, not on the court to discern that she is using abbreviations from
28 a specialized field that otherwise are not called for by the allegations presented. If plaintiff
fails to clearly state a claim in generally understandable English, she literally will have
failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Plaintiff perhaps may wish to
emphasize clear communication over demonstrating her knowledge of specialized medical
abbreviations in this regard.